	Case 2:05-cv-01018-RSL Docume	ent 39	Filed 01/05/06	Page 1 of 3
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE			
8	MARTIN SELIG d/b/a MARTIN SELIG	Ī		
9	REAL ESTATE,			
10	Plaintiff,	C	ase No. C05-10	18L
11	V.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO VACATE DISMISSAL;		
12	WINSTAR WIRELESS, INC., et al.,	IMPOSING SANCTIONS		
13	Defendants.			
14		J		
15	I. INTRODUCTION			
16	This matter comes before the Court on plaintiff's motion to vacate the Court's			
17	November 29, 2005 order of dismissal in this case. (Dkt. #33). The Court dismissed the			
18	case because plaintiff did not file a Joint Status Report or respond in any way to either of			
19	two orders to show cause why this case should not be dismissed for failure to comply			
20	with the Court's orders.			
21	For the reasons set forth below, the Court vacates the order of dismissal, and			
22	imposes sanctions for plaintiff's failure to comply with the Court's orders.			
23	II. DISCUSSION			
24	Plaintiff's counsel, W.K. McInerney, states that he did not receive the orders to			
25	ODDED CD ANTING MOTION			
26	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO VACATE DISMISSAL - 1			

show cause or any of the other documents which ultimately led to the dismissal of this case. Declaration of W.K. McInerney (Dkt. #34) at ¶ 3. Mr. McInerney also states that he did not receive any of the messages from the Court's staff who telephoned his office on several occasions regarding the overdue Joint Status Report. Mr. McInerney notes that he was working with temporary secretaries during the relevant time period. However, he acknowledges, correctly, that he is ultimately responsible for complying with the Court's orders. Nevertheless, the Court prefers, whenever possible, to decide matters on their merits. Accordingly, relief from the dismissal order is justified to allow for resolution on the merits. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(6).

The parties have not yet filed a Joint Status Report. The Court orders the parties to file a Joint Status Report no later than January 11, 2006. Once the parties have filed the Joint Status Report, the Court will renote plaintiff's two motions that were pending at the time of the dismissal.<sup>1</sup>

Finally, the Court considers whether the conduct of plaintiff and his counsel warrants the imposition of sanctions. By not filing a Joint Status Report or a response to either of the Court's orders to show cause, plaintiff failed to comply with three orders of this Court without good cause. The failure is more egregious in light of the fact that the Joint Status Report filing deadline was set by stipulation of the parties, so plaintiff and his counsel were well aware of the deadline but ignored it. Furthermore, plaintiff's counsel has not offered any explanation for his failure to return defendants' counsel's telephone calls and e-mail messages regarding the overdue Joint Status Report. Clearly, plaintiff's

25

10

13

14

17

18

20

21

<sup>2223</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plaintiff's reply "requests a prompt determination" of the two motions.

Plaintiff's Reply at p. 2. The motions would have been considered long ago had plaintiff

Plaintiff's Reply at p. 2. The motions would have been considered long ago had plaintiff fulfilled his basic, and minimal, obligations as a litigant before this Court.

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO VACATE DISMISSAL - 2

counsel was not actively monitoring the status of this case, and only belatedly learned of the dismissal and related filings when he called the Court's staff to inquire about the status of a pending motion. All of these actions, and inactions, show an on-going cavalier attitude towards the Court's orders, other parties, and the obligations of those seeking relief from and appearing before the Court. The conduct of plaintiff and his counsel has also unnecessary delayed and vexatiously multiplied the proceedings, increased costs, and wasted both the Court's and the defendants' resources. Accordingly, the Court imposes sanctions in the amount of \$2,000, to be paid to defendants within twenty days of the date of this order. Plaintiff and his counsel shall be jointly responsible for paying the sanctions. 10 11 III. CONCLUSION 12 For all of the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's motion to vacate the order of dismissal is GRANTED. The Court's November 29, 2005 order of dismissal (Dkt. #32) is 13 VACATED. The Clerk of the Court is directed to reopen this case. 15 The Court further ORDERS the parties to file a Joint Status Report no later than January 11, 2006. The Court also imposes sanctions in the amount of \$2,000, to be paid 17 to defendants within twenty days of the date of this order. Plaintiff and his counsel shall be jointly responsible for paying the sanctions. 18 19 20 DATED this 5th day of January, 2006. 21 MMS Casnik 22 23 United States District Judge 24 25 ORDER GRANTING MOTION 26 TO VACATE DISMISSAL - 3